

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,645	11/16/1999	AVERY FONG	5244-0109-2	3214
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHANKONG, DOHM	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2452	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.go

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

MAILED 6/04/09 JUN 047009

In re Application of: FONG, et al. Application No. 09/440,645

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Filed: November 16, 1999

For: APPLICATION UNIT MONITORING AND

REPORTING SYSTEM AND METHOD WITH USAGE DATA LOGGED INTO A

MAP STRUCTURE

DECISION GRANTING PETITION TO RESET PERIOD FOR REPLY

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on February 04, 2009, requesting that the shortened statutory period for reply set forth in the Office communication (i.e. Examiner's Answer) uploaded on November 17, 2007 be reset. The original petition, submitted on June 16, 2008 was Dismissed in a decision mailed on January 12, 2009. It is noted that Applicant is a participant in the Office's Beta "e-Office Action Program".

In accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 710.06(I), Petitioner has provided a statement that on the "e-notification" date of November 27, 2007, the Office communication in question was not present in the Image File Wrapper nor later received via mail at the correspondence address of record. In addition, Petitioner provided: a statement that during a June 10, 2008 follow up to a status check filed on April 15, 2008, Petitioner discovered the Examiner's Answer in the file wrapper. Petitioner has also provided a copy of the docket records at the address of record; as well as reference to the docket record. Finally, Petitioner attested to the fact that the original petition was filed within two weeks of the discovery date (of June 10, 2008) of the Office action in PAIR.

Accordingly, the Petition is **GRANTED**.

The response period for submission of the Appeal Brief is hereby RESET to begin on April 15, 2008 as requested, thus the Reply Brief submitted on June 16, 2008 is considered to have been timely filed in accordance with MPEP 1208 and 37 CFR § 41.41. The application is being forwarded to the Examiner with instructions to consider the timely filed Reply Brief of June 16, 2008 in accordance with this decision.

Serial No.: 09/440,645 Decision on Petition

Any inquiry concerning this decision should be directed to Brian Johnson whose telephone is (571) 272-3595.

Brian L. Johnson

Quality Assurance Specialist

Technology Center 2100

Computer Architecture, Software, and Information Security